

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/079,192	02/19/2002		Gary Handwerker	8033-1014	7298	
23644	7590	03/08/2006		EXAMINER		
BARNES & P.O. BOX 27		NBURG, LLP	LONEY, DONALD J			
CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				1772	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{D}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/079,192	HANDWERKER, GAR	Υ
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .	
	Donald Loney	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	December 2005.		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	•	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,7,9-15,17-20 and 22-27</u> is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,7,9-15,17-20,22-27</u> are sub	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abeytection is required if the drawing	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. Ents have been received in Fiority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Sta	g e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	III	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	!)

Application/Control Number: 10/079,192 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7 and 9-13, drawn to a product, classified in class 428, subclass 76.
 - II. Claims 14, 15, 17-20 and 22-27 are, drawn to a product, classified in class 428, subclass 166.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are deemed separate and distinct inventions which have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are the blanket of claims 1 and 7 (group I) comprising the bottom layer having reflective elements dispersed in the polymer and spaced insulative elements not contained in group II, while group II is drawn to a blanket having unslitted outer layers and bubble layers there between wherein either the bottom layer or bubble layer has a reflective material applied thereto not contained therein.
- 3. This restriction is being made since the applicant substantially amended the claims in the last response and argues the distinctness of the reflective elements as being dispersed in the polymer (group I) over the applied references and the applied reflective layer (group II) over the applied references. Therefore, now there are two distinct sets of claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,192 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Loney whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 1772

1493. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs and Fri. 8AM-4PM, flex schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald Loney Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

DJL:D.Loney 03/04/06